UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,037	12/11/2003	Giora Biran	FIS920030289US1	8778
45094 HOFFMAN WA	7590 10/29/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST			GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J	
14TH FL ALBANY, NY	12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

efiplaw@us.ibm.com PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/734,037	BIRAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2445					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ne 2009						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<i>,</i> —	, <del></del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,— , , , — , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
··· <u> </u>							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammor. Note the attached office	7.00.001 01 1011111 1 0 102.					
<u> </u>							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<del></del>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/734,037 Page 2

Art Unit: 2445

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elzur, (US Publication No. 2004/0034725), and further in view of Shah et al., (US Patent No. 6,460,080), (hereinafter Shah).

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 16, Elzur discloses placing each out-of-order [Elzur, paragraph 9] RDMA message [Elzur, paragraph 9, line 1] to a reassembly buffer [Elzur, paragraph 9, lines 8-9, 'or alternatively requires buffering till the TCP hole is plugged' and paragraph 6, see also, paragraph 49], wherein each in-order RDMA message bypasses the reassembly buffer [Elzur, paragraph 9 and paragraph 6, lines 6-8] and is sent to an internal data buffer [Elzur, paragraph 6, lines 11-12, 'It may also remove the need for data buffering on the NIC', meaning that there can be data buffering on the NIC] for direct placement to a destination buffer [Elzur, paragraph 6, lines 6-8]; storing information regarding each out-of-order [Elzur, paragraph 9, line 7] RDMA message [Elzur, paragraph 6, lines 6-8] on a per TCP hole basis [Elzur, paragraph 9,

line 5], wherein a TCP hole is a vacancy created in a TCP stream as a result of an out-of-order TCP segment [Elzur, paragraph 9, lines 1-9], in a connection context on a per TCP hole basis [Elzur, paragraph 9, lines 1-9];

delivering the plurality of RDMA messages in-order [Elzur, paragraph 6, lines 6-11], such that the out-of-order RDMA messages are reassembled in-order in the reassembly buffer [Elzur, paragraph 9, buffering till the TCP hole is plugged].

Elzur does not specifically disclose wherein the information stored for RDMA Read messages includes at least a number of pending RDMA Read Request messages waiting for a doorbell ring or a number of completed RDMA Read Response messages]. However, Shah, in the same field of endeavor discloses send and receive requests with descriptors in a work queue and ringing a doorbell to notify the NIC that work has been placed in the work queue [Shah, column 5, lines 1-6].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include read requests and read response messages waiting for a doorbell ring in order to inform the NIC that work is waiting.

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 17, Elzur-Shah further discloses ringing the doorbell of a network interface controller (NIC) [Shah, column 5, lines 1-8] that each of the number of pending RDMA [Elzur, paragraph 6] read response messages [Shah, column 5, lines 1-8] have been posted to a respective work queue element (WQE) of a read queue [Shah, column 5, lines 1-15] upon closing of a respective TCP hole [Elzur, paragraph 9].

Art Unit: 2445

Regarding claim 3, Elzur-Shah further discloses processing each WQE [Shah, column 5, lines 1-15].

Page 4

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Elzur-Shah further discloses for RDMA Send type messages, the delivery step includes, for each RDMA Send message of a TCP hole [Elzur, paragraphs, 6 and 9], placing RDMA Send message specific information to a work queue element (WQE) associated with the respective RDMA Send message [Shah, column 5, lines 1-15].

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Elzur-Shah further discloses placing a completion queue element (CQE) to a completion queue (CQ) upon closing of the TCP hole [Shah, column 5, line 11].

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, Elzur-Shah further discloses wherein a number of CQEs is equal to a number of RDMA Send messages [Shah, column 5, lines 1-15] of the TCP hole [Elzur, paragraph 9].

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Elzur-Shah further discloses wherein RDMA Send message specific information is retrieved from a respective WQE upon a Poll-for-Completion request by an RDMA verb interface [Shah, column 5, lines 8-15].

Art Unit: 2445

Regarding claims 8, 15 and 22, Elzur-Shah further discloses reporting completion of RDMA Read work requests [Shah, column 5, lines 8-11] upon closing of the TCP hole [Elzur, paragraph 9].

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A - Applicant argues "the combination of Elzur and Shah does not teach or suggest a method for delivering a plurality of RDMA messages including, inter alia, 'placing each out-of-order RDMA message to a reassembly buffer, wherein each in-order RDMA message bypasses the reassembly buffer and is sent to an internal data buffer for direct placement to a destination buffer'. There is no disclosure of differentiating between an in-order and an out-of-order message to determine which buffer to send the message to".

A – Elzur discloses an in-order buffer and an out-of-order buffer [Elzur, paragraphs 6 and 9, Elzur discusses that the incoming packet may be placed in a final destination memory address (the internal data buffer). Elzur also discusses that an out-of-order packet can require buffering till the TCP hole is plugged, paragraph 6, lines 11-12, it may also remove the need for data buffering on the NIC, see paragraph 49, the NIC may buffer out-of-order data until the TCP hole is plugged, prior to forwarding on).

B – Applicant argues "The Office further posits that Elzur teaches 'storing information regarding each out-of-order RDMA message ... in a connection context on a per TCP hole basis' ... Applicants respectfully submit, however, that Elzur fails to teach or suggest such a connection context".

B – Elzur discloses a TCP hole [Elzur, at least in paragraphs 6 and 9]. Shah discloses a doorbell ring in a connection context [Shah, at least, column 5, lines 1-5, a connection is established, send and received requests are processed and then a doorbell is rung].

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular paragraphs / columns and line numbers in the reference(s) applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the cited passages as taught by the prior art or relied upon by the examiner.

Page 7

Should applicant amend the claims of the claimed invention, it is respectfully requested that applicant clearly indicate the portion(s) of applicant's specification that support the amended claim language for ascertaining the metes and bounds of applicant's claimed invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD whose telephone number is (571)270-1589. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday / 8:00 AM -4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/734,037 Page 8

Art Unit: 2445

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WJG 10/13/2009

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445